N THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

C. W. P. No. 19473 of 2006
Date of Decision: December 8, 2006

Manjit Kaur .....*Petitioner*

Versus

Director, Prosecution &
Litigation and Additional Secretary to Govt.
Punjab and others .....*Respondents*

Coram: *Hon'ble Mr.Justice J.S.Khehar*
Hon'ble Mr.Justice S.D. Anand

Present: Mr. S.S.Hira, Advocate for the petitioner.

-

J.S. KHEHAR , J. (oral)

The petitioner claims appointment against the post of Assistant District Attorney advertised on 11.9.2006. The claim of the petitioner is against the solitary reserved vacancy falling to the category of Scheduled Caste-Ex-serviceman (dependent).

The primary contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner, as against the two years' experience required for appointment to the post of Assistant District Attorney, has more than 11 years experience at the bar in the District Court, Hoshiarpur, and as such, there is no justification whatsoever in not appointing the petitioner against the sole reserved vacancy for the post of Assistant District Attorney, to be

filled up from amongst the category of Scheduled Caste-Ex-service man (dependent).

We have examined the matter in its totality. The pleadings of the instant writ petition reveal that no candidate belonging to the Scheduled Caste and Ex-service man (dependent) category was appointed against the post of Assistant District Attorney from District Hoshiarpur,whereas, all the other remaining posts were filled up. It is apparent therefore, that none of those who have applied from the aforesaid categories for the post of Assistant District Attorney was found suitable, and as such, the said post remained unfilled.

Since the petitioner was apparently unsuitable for the appointment to the post of Assistant District Attorney, her claim through instant writ petition is clearly misconceived.

Dismissed.

(J.S.Khehar)
Judge

(S.D.Anand)
Judge

December 08, 2006
arya